Atty. Docket No: 06005/36796

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

entitled "WIRING FAULT DETECTION	, DIAGNOSIS AND REPORTING	FOR PROCESS CONTROL	L SYSTEMS," the
specification of which (check one): ⊠ is a	ittached hereto; was filed on	as Appli	ication Serial No.
and was amended or	n	(if applicable); □ was filed as	PCT International
Application No on	and was amended under Articl	e 19 on	(if applicable).
I hereby state that I have reviewed and under	stand the contents of the above-identifi	ed specification, including the	claims, as amended
by any amendment(s) referred to above. $\ I$	acknowledge the duty to disclose to	the Patent and Trademark Off	ice all information
known to me to be material to patentability	as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56.		
I hereby claim foreign priority b	enefits under 35 U.S.C. §119 of an	y foreign application(s) for p	atent or inventor's
certificate or of any PCT international appli	ication(s) designating at least one cour	ntry other than the United State	es of America listed
below and have also identified below any	foreign application(s) for patent or	inventor's certificate or any	PCT international
apprication(s) designating at least one countr	ry other than the United States of Ame	rica filed by me on the same su	bject matter having
a filing date before that of the application(s) of which priority is claimed:		
			Priority Claimed
(Application Serial Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	□ □ Yes No
To the state of th	•	· •	
	5 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United State	es provisional application(s) lis	ted below:
(Application Serial Number)		(Day/Month/Year Filed)	
5		(Day/Month/Year Filed)	
t marie	5 U.S.C. §120 of any United States a		ional application(s)
t marie	•	application(s) or PCT internation	
I hereby claim the benefit under 3.	sted below and, insofar as the subject	application(s) or PCT internation	f this application is
I hereby claim the benefit under 3. designating the United States of America list	sted below and, insofar as the subject he manner provided by the first parag	application(s) or PCT internation matter of each of the claims of graph of 35 U.S.C. §112, I ack	f this application is
designating the United States of America list not disclosed in the prior application(s) in the disclosed in the prior application (s) in the disclosed in the disclosed in the prior application (s) in the disclosed in the discl	sted below and, insofar as the subject he manner provided by the first paragown to me to be material to patentabili	matter of each of the claims o graph of 35 U.S.C. §112, I ack	f this application is knowledge the duty 56 which occurred

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. §1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: I hereby appoint as my attorneys, with full powers of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

John B. Lungmus(18,566) Allen H. Gerstein (22,218) Nate F. Scarpelli (22,320) Edward M. O'Toole (22,477) Michael F. Borun (25,447) Trevor B. Joike (25,542) Carl E. Moore, Jr. (26,487) Richard H. Anderson (26,526)

Marshall, O'Toole, Gerstein,

Murray & Borun

Patrick D Ertel (26,877) Richard B. Hoffman(26,910) James P. Zeller (28,491) William E. McCracken (30,195) Richard A. Schnurr (30,890) Anthony Nimmo (30,920) Christine A Dudzik (31,245) Kevin D. Hogg (31,839) Jeffrey S Sharp (31.879) Martin J. Hirsch (32.237) James J. Napoli (32,361) Richard M. La Barge (32,254) Li-Hsien Rin-Laures, M.D. (33,547) Douglass C. Hochstetler (33,710) Robert M. Gerstein (34,824) Anthony G. Sitko (36,278)

James A Flight (37,622) Roger A Heppermann (37,641) David A. Gass (38,153) Gregory C Mayer (38,238) Michael R. Weiner (38,359) William K. Merkel (40,725) Dale A. Kubly (27,569) Michael L. Sheldon (32,001)

Send correspondence to: Roger A. Heppermann

FIRM NAME PHONE NO. STREET

312-474-6300

6300 Sears Tower

233 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois

CITY & STATE

60606-6402

ZIP CODE

Full Name of First or Sole Inventor	Citizenship
Daniel D Christensen	United States
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street
9001 Martha's Drive	9001 Martha's Drive
Čity (Zip)	City (Z ₁ p)
Austin 78717	Austin 78717
State or Country	State or Country
Texas	Texas
Ø () ∫ (0) (0)	Signature 🖂

Second Joint Inventor, if any	Citizenship	
Steven D. Bonwell	United States	
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street	
9‡12 Brimstone Lane	9112 Brimstone Lane	
Çîty (Zip)	City (Zip)	
Austin 78717-3019	Austin 78717-3019	
State or Country	State or Country	
Texas	Texas	
Date 1	Signature	
B 5-1-2001	Bra D. Bonnale	

Third Joint Inventor, if any	Cıtızenship
Michael L. Marshall	United States
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street
350 Eastview Dr	350 Eastview Dr
City (Zip)	City (Zip)
Georgetown 78626	Georgetown 78626
State or Country	State or Country
Texas	Texas
Date Max 1, 2001	Signature Michael L. Marshelf

APPLICABLE RULES AND STATUTES

37 CFR 1.56. DUTY OF DISCLOSURE - INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY (Applicable Portion)

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentability defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

Information relating to the following factual situations enumerated in 35 USC 102 and 103 may be considered material under 37 CFR 1.55(a).

35 J.S.C. 102. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY: NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
 - (c) he has abandoned the invention, or
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States, or
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraph (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
 - (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
- (g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

35 U.S.C. 103. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER (Applicable Portion)

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

35 U.S.C. 112. SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.